





#### 1. PERFORMANCE CONTROL: DESIGNNING (SELECTION OF TRAITS)

- Nowadays in Spain: 6 populations have a linear assessment system for the conformation control.
- Control of body measurements and subjective traits in field tests or visits to the studs.

BREED		N	MEASUR.	SUBJECT.	ANGLES	MOVEM.	END
Pura Raza Español	PRE	2512	49	83	Yes	No	2006
Menorca Purebred Horses	PRMe	347	47	19	Yes	Yes (W/T)	2011
Spanish Arab Horses	PRÁ	171	37	0	Yes	No	2007
Spanish Sport Horses	CDE	128	39	0	Yes	No	2008
Pottoka	POTT	93	27	0	Yes	No	2014
Spanish Heavy Horses	SHH	426	22	0	No	No	2008
More advance	d						



Ш

<ol> <li>PERFORMAN (SI</li> <li>Based on collected conform tests were done:</li> </ol>	<b>CE CONTROL: DESIGNNING</b> ELECTION OF TRAITS) nation data, different statistical and genetic
TEST	USE
•Basic Statistics (mean, minimum, maximum, range, mode, CV) •Analysis of frequencies	Evaluation of level of <b>variability</b> . Distribution of the <b>classes</b> within the biological scale.
•t-student •MANOVA •Canonical analysis •GLM	Evaluation of the <b>influence</b> of sex, aptitude, age, breeding objective of the stud on conformation traits. Determination of the <b>traits related with performance</b> on different disciplines.
Phenotypic correlations     Factor analysis     Principal component analysis     Genetic correlations	Evaluation of the <b>relationship between the</b> <b>conformation traits, and between these and</b> <b>functional and biokinematic traits</b> for the selection of the traits and to avoid negative response to selection.
•Heritabilities	Evaluation of the <b>heritability</b> (for the selection).
	(

### 1. PERFORMANCE CONTROL: DESIGNNING (SELECTION OF TRAITS)

- **Primary traits:** related with a body measurement, for example: length of head or length of neck.
- **Secondary traits:** not related with a body measurement (subjective evaluation), for example: harmony or muscular development.
- Criteria for the selection of traits:
  - Adequate **variability** to allow selection and ensure differentiation by the appraisers.
  - No negatively **correlated** traits to avoid negative response to selection.
  - Related with performance, functional and biokinematic traits.
  - Adequate **heritability** level to ensure response to selection.
  - Mainly **primary** traits (more objectives and with an easier measurement).



## 3. PERFORMANCE CONTROL: TESTING (EVALUATION OF THE PROCEDURE)



- The quality of genetic evaluations depends largely on the truthfulness of data collected.
- In the linear methodology, it depends largely on the quality of the appraisers and the adequacy of the selected traits.
- In order to obtain suitable information for genetic evaluations, it is essential that appraisers use the full scale and their scores are reliable.

<ul> <li><b>3. PERFORMANCE</b> (EVALUATION OF</li> <li>• The evaluation of the procedure inclusion</li> </ul>	CONTROL: TESTING THE PROCEDURE) uded different statistical and genetic
tests: TEST	USE
•Basic statistics (mean, max., min., range, mode, CV) •Analysis of frequencies	Evaluation of the <b>use of the scale</b> and <b>distribution</b> of the population by classes.
• Reproducibility (Cervantes et al.,2010; Sánchez et al., 2013)	DEF: Probability that two appraisers produced the same appraisal for the same trait and the same horse, estimated as an intra-class correlation between horses, measured by more than one appraiser. Evidence the <b>capacity of appraisers</b> to discern the small differences between classes within the biological scale of the population.
•Heritabilities and genetic correlations	Evaluating the <b>adequate response to selection</b> for traits. Evidence the <b>relationship</b> between morphological traits to reduce the number of evaluated traits and to set up a genetic index.
• Partial least square regression analysis with request reduced rank regression methodology	Selection of the <b>most predictive</b> linear traits over Dressage traits using breeding values.
•Canonical analysis •Chi-square test •Regression analysis	Evidence the <b>relationship</b> among traits and selecting a smaller number of traits to create a multi-character index.

<b>3. PER</b> ( Basic statistics of 31	RFO EVA linear ty	RM LUA	AN TION s analyze e (2512 h	CE NOF ed in the orses w	CONTROL: THE PROCED Pura Raza Español horse p ithout repeated records).	TE: URE	STI 3) on with a	NG	rd by
PRIMA	RY TR	AITS			SECO	NDAF	RY TR	AITS	
Trait	Mean	Mode	Range	C.V.	Trait	Mean	Mode	Range	C.V.
lead length	5.2	5	2-9	19.4	Head-neck junction	5.0	5	1-9	26.6
Vidth of head	3.3	3	2-7	18.4	Upperneck line	5.5	5	2-9	23.1
space between jaws	3.4	3	1-9	34.9	Dorsal line	5.0	5	1-8	22.4
Commissure of lips	3.0	2	1-9	41.6	Lateral angle of knee	5.1	5	1-8	14.9
ength of neck	5.8	6	1-8	25.3	Frontal angle of knee	5.3	5	2-8	13.8
leck-body junction	3.7	4	1-9	31.4	Musculardevelopment	5.4	6	2-9	21.0
Vidth of chest	4.8	5	2-9	23.8	Rear tendon development	4.9	5	2-9	19.9
leight of withers	5.9	7	1-9	27.3	Hock from rear	3.9	4	1-7	19.5
ength of back	5.3	5	2-9	17.4	Lateral nock angle	4.8	5	2-9	21.9
ength of loin	6.2	7	1-9	16.5	Breed quality	0.1	5	1-9	22.4
ength of shoulder	5.3	4	2-9	30.8	Harmony	5.5	5	1-9	22.0
Angle of shoulder	7.0	7	2-9	13.4					
ength of forearm	6.4	7	2-9	16.2	o Moan:				
Cannon bone perimeter	5.2	5	2-9	21.7					
ength of croup	6.3	7	2-9	15.2	<ul> <li>Between 3-</li> </ul>	7 in pr	imary	traits.	
Angle of croup	4.8	7	1-9	42.8	<ul> <li>Mainly 5 in</li> </ul>	secon	dary tr	raits	
oint of hip-stifle distance	3.7	3	1-8	30.4	intainity 0 m				
schium-stifle distance	4.4	5	1-9	22.4	<ul> <li>Complete use of</li> </ul>	of scal	e in m	ost of t	raits.
ength of buttock	5.2	6	1-9	17.6	• All traits OV >	100/		ant	
ength of leg	7.1	7	3-9	19.0	$\circ$ An traits $\circ \vee >$	10%, 8	sumen	ent	2 Martin

3. PER (	<b>RFORM</b> EVALUA	IANCE CONTROL: TESTING TION OF THE PROCEDURE)
Trait	Reproducibilit y of trait	Reproducibility of 31 linear type traits analyzed in Pura Raza Español horses (876 horses with
Head length	0.97	repeated records).
Width of head	0.99	. openioù 10001 uoji
Space between jaws	0.95	
Commissure of the lips	0.95	
Head-neck junction	0.96	
Upper neck line	0.97	Reproducibility: the probability that two
Length of neck	0.95	appraisers produced the same appraisal for the
Neck-body junction	0.97	appraisers produced the same appraisar for the
Width of chest	0.97	same trait and the same norse, estimated as an
Length of book	0.93	intra-class correlation between horses.
Length of Jain	0.90	management by more than and approiver
Dereal line	0.97	measured by more than one appraiser.
Longth of chouldor	0.97	
Angle of choulder	0.92	
Length of forearm	0.90	<ul> <li>Barroducibilities &gt;0.90 in all the traits, except angle of</li> </ul>
Lateral angle of knee	0.99	(0.00)
Frontal angle of knee	0.99	croup (0.89).
Cannon bone perimeter	0.98	• Evidence the <b>canacity of annraisers</b> to discern
Length of croup	0.98	differences between alagan within the higherio-11-
Angle of croup	0.89	unterences between classes within the biological scale
Point of hip-stifle distance	0.96	of the population.
Ischium-stifle distance	0.97	<ul> <li>Secondary traits with CV &gt;20% presented the lower</li> </ul>
Length of buttock	0.98	• Secondary trans with 0 v > 50% presented the lower
Length of leg	0.95	reproducibilities (0.89-0.96).
Muscular development	0.97	• Armonization of definition of traits is recommended to
Rear tendon development	0.97	· A monization of definition of traits is recommended to
Hock from rear	0.98	avoid differences in the interpretation of the
Lateral hock angle	0.97	definitions.
Breed quality	0.95	A CONTRACT OF THE OWNER OF
Harmony	0.95	T

# 3. PERFORMANCE CONTROL: TESTING (EVALUATION OF THE PROCEDURE)

The **genetic parameters** were estimated using a multi-trait BLUP animal model (REML methodology):

**Model A**:  $Y_{ijklm} = Ag_i + Sex_j + Reg_k + App_l + a_m + e_{ijklm}$ 

**Model B:**  $Y_{ijklmn} = Ag_i + Sex_j + Reg_k + App_l + a_m + pe_n + e_{ijklmn}$ 

#### where:

Y= the score trait Ag = the age group of the animal (1,...,9)Sex = the sex of the animal (1 and 2)Reg = geographical region where the animal was born (1,.., 48)App= the combination appraiser\*event, (1,.., 61)a = the effect of the animal (1,...,3,025)pe= permanent environment of animal (1,...,876)e = the residual error effect







The evaluation of the appraisers	included different statistical tests:
TEST	USE
•Basic statistics (mean, max., min., range, mode, CV) •Analysis of frequencies	Evaluation of the use of the <b>scale.</b>
•Reliability (Cervantes et al.,2010)	DEF: Probability that the score given by the appraiser is in accordance with the measured value (body measurement), evaluated only for primary traits. Evidence the <b>capacity of appraisers</b> to measure the animal "with their eyes".
•Repeatability (Cervantes et al.,2010; Sánchez et al., 2013)	DEF: Probability of awarding the same appraisal for the same trait and the same horse in two different appraisals by the same appraiser. Evidence the <b>capacity of appraisers</b> to repeat a measure for the same animal.



Frequency		Annuall y	<ul> <li>Genetic evaluation of linear conformation traits in Pura Raza Español horses is done annually.</li> </ul>				
Number of evaluations		6	• Including only a record by horse in the evaluation.				
h2 of primary tra	aits	0.06-0.35	Breeding Catalogue including the estimation of				
h2 of secondary traits		0.08-0.23	some indexes.				
<b>h2 of movements</b> 0.27-0.32		0.27 - 0.32					
GENETIC INDE OF CONFORMATI	XES ON	0 00 0 10	FORMULA				
Head-Neck	HNI		0.50*VG head-neck union +0.50* VG length of neck				
Body	BI	0.20*VG height of the withers +0.40*VG length of back + 0.40*VG back-loin line 0.25*VG length of scapula + 0.25*VG angle of scapula +0.25*VG frontal angle of knee +0.25*VG lateral angle of knee					
Forelimb	FLI						
Hindlimb	HLI	0.15*VG length of croup + 0.20*VG angle of croup + 0.10*VG ischium-stifle distance + 0.15*VG length of leg + 0.10* VG muscular development + 0.15*VG posterior direction of hock + 0.15* VG lateral angle of hock					
Global Index for	GID	0,1*HNI+0,2*BI+0,2*FLI+0,5*HLI					











# 6. FUTURE OF LINEAR ASSESSMENT IN SPAIN

- **Spanish Arab Horse**: Analysis of linear type traits evaluated in order: to ensure their adequacy, to evaluate the appraisers and to reduce the number of traits.
- Menorca Purebred Horse: Continuation of the linear control, including more animals with performance records in Dressage in order to select the traits to be included in the genetic indexes.
- **Pura Raza Español Horse**: Revision of genetic indexes including more information about relationships between conformation and functional performance in Dressage. Movements are included in the new control.



